LA DOTD BRIDGE MAINTENANCE DIRECTIVE #5 JANUARY 1, 1992 Rev. November 30, 2011

#### OFF-SYSTEM BRIDGES - POSTING/CLOSING - FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS

**PURPOSE:** 

To establish a formal policy, guidelines, and procedures to be followed by all Off-State-System Bridge Owners in Louisiana, in accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 23, Part 650, Subpart C "National Bridge Inspection Standards" (NBIS).

SCOPE:

This Directive applies to all bridges on all Local Roads and City Streets in the State of Louisiana which are not a part of the State Maintained Highway System, hereinafter referred to as Off-System bridges.

This directive outlines the action(s) required to be taken by the Bridge Owner in the following situations:

- LA DOTD Bridge Inspectors have observed conditions that require the immediate closure of an Off-System bridge.
- A calculated capacity rating requires the immediate closure of an Off-System bridge (i.e. not capable of safely carrying a 3 ton load).
- Timely load rating and re-rating of an Off-System bridge when a change in condition is identified.
- Installation and maintenance of load posting signs on Off-System bridges not capable of carrying the full statutory legal load limit.

POLICY:

All bridges on all public roads shall be inspected and structurally analyzed in accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations (23CFR.650.C) and the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Manual for Bridge Evaluation (MBE) requirements, and shall be load restricted (posted) or physically closed to all vehicular traffic where appropriate. Furthermore the LADOTD Policies and Guidelines for Bridge Rating and Evaluation shall be followed to assure proper and consistent load ratings for bridges in Louisiana.

The intent of 23CFR is to protect the traveling public and to protect the public's investment in bridge structures, and that, upon receipt of information identifying possible critical deficiencies in bridge structures, immediate action be taken by the Bridge Owner. The required action is to immediately close the bridge to all vehicular traffic, or to immediately load restrict the bridge. After the bridge has been closed or load posted, the Owner's Engineer shall evaluate the bridge and the data provided by the LA DOTD to determine which course of action (see Acceptable Responses listed in PROCEDURES) will be taken by the Bridge Owner based on the Owner's Engineer's analysis. The Owner or the Owner's Engineer shall notify the LA DOTD District ADA of Operations within 7 calendar days of the original notification that critical deficiencies exist which

LA DOTD BRIDGE MAINTENANCE DIRECTIVE #5 JANUARY 1, 1992

Rev. November 30, 2011

require immediate attention, explaining the actions taken by the Owner pursuant to the recommendation. The Owner MUST respond with one of the Acceptable Responses listed in the PROCEDURES to remain in compliance with the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS).

CRITICAL DEFICIENCIES are defined as deficiencies which may cause, or result in, the imminent collapse of the bridge.

CLOSURE is defined as the placement of a physical barrier that will completely prevent access to the bridge by a vehicle.

Compliance with the NBIS is determined annually by Parish, meaning that all bridges on local roads and city streets (not owned by a State Department or Federal Agency) within the boundaries of each Parish shall be used in the determination of compliance with the NBIS. Said compliance will affect the Parish's participation in the LA DOTD/FHWA Off-System Bridge Replacement Program.

PROCEDURE:

The Procedures to be followed are defined as:

- 1. Off-System Bridge is Recommended for Closure
- 2. Off-System Bridge is Recommended for Load Posting
- 3. Owner Review of Rating, Posting, & Closing Data and Requirements
- Monitoring Off-System Bridge Owner Compliance with the NBIS by LA DOTD

Each Procedure is outlined in the attached flowcharts describing the appropriate actions and required Acceptable Responses. Any response other than one of the "Acceptable Responses", non-action, or no-response within the first seven (7) calendar days after the original notification shall place the Owner on formal notice of pending Non-Compliance with the NBIS. Upon expiration of the initial 7 calendar day time period, the LA DOTD District ADA of Operations shall give the Owner FINAL NOTIFICATION via certified letter AND in person that a formal, irrevocable notice of Non-Compliance with the NBIS will be issued unless an Acceptable Response is received by the LA DOTD District ADA of Operations within 7 additional calendar days. Upon expiration of the fourteen (14) day period, if an Acceptable Response has not been received, the Parish will be in Non-Compliance with the NBIS, and therefore shall be barred from participation in the joint FHWA / LA DOTD Bridge Replacement & Rehabilitation Program for at least one (1) full calendar year. The LA DOTD District ADA of Operations shall notify the Parish of Non-Compliance with the NBIS by Certified Letter.

LA DOTD BRIDGE MAINTENANCE DIRECTIVE #5 JANUARY 1, 1992 Rev. November 30, 2011

If the Owner's submittal satisfies the requirements outlined in the Acceptable Responses listed in the Procedure, the Owner shall be notified by phone or in person, followed by a letter indicating that the Owner's response for this structure is acceptable for the Owner to remain in compliance with the NBIS. The LA DOTD District office shall forward the package (Owner's inspection report, load rating calculations, letters, etc.) with a cover letter to Headquarters Bridge Maintenance Section.

If the Owner's submittal <u>does not</u> satisfy the requirements outlined in the Acceptable Responses listed in the Procedure, the Owner shall be notified immediately, by phone or in person, followed by a Certified letter, that the Owner is placed on notice that an Acceptable Response must be received within fourteen (14) calendar days from the initial notification to close or load post the bridge, or the Parish will be placed in Non-Compliance with the NBIS.

Prior to re-opening or increasing/removing the posted load limit of any such bridge, the bridge shall be inspected and load rated by the Owner's Engineer, and a new Bridge Inspection Report (Form 3097) and new calculated and stamped load capacity ratings shall be submitted by the Bridge Owner or Owner's Engineer to the LA DOTD District ADA of Operations for review and approval.

#### RESPONSIBILITY:

The LA DOTD Structures and Facilities Maintenance Engineer shall be responsible for timely distribution of computer report listings to the LA DOTD District ADA of Operations and for timely updating of the Master Structure File.

The LA DOTD District ADA of Operations shall be responsible for administering the program as detailed herein and for the timely submittal of updated closure and posting data from the Bridge Owners to LA DOTD Headquarters Bridge Maintenance Section.

LA DOTD BRIDGE MAINTENANCE DIRECTIVE #5 JANUARY 1, 1992 Rev. November 30, 2011

AFFECTED ISSUANCES: This Directive is issued under authority of EDSM No. IV.4.1.2 and supplements

EDSM I.1.1.8 & EDSM I.1.1.15.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This policy shall become effective January 1, 2012.

202

**Recommend Approval** 

Bridge Inspection Engineer (SEC. 51)

DRM

**Recommend Approval** 

Structures and Facilities Administrator (FEG, 51)

Approved

Vincent C. Latino Jr., P.E.


**Chief Maintenance Engineer** 

#### Attachments:

- 1. Procedure Flowcharts
- 2. Preparing Owner's Engineer's Inspection Report Instructions
- 3. Example Bridge Inspection Form 3097
- 4. Example PONTIS Bridge Inspection Report
- 5. Example Timber Rating Form
- 6. Example Computer Report
- 7. Example STRM Segment 15 screen shot
- 8. Off-System Critical Deficiencies Follow-up Checklist
- 9. Off-System Critical Deficiencies Follow-up Coding Instructions

LA DOTD BRIDGE MAINTENANCE DIRECTIVE #5

# PROCEDURE #1: OFF-SYSTEM BRIDGE IS RECOMMENDED FOR CLOSURE




the LADOTD District ADA of Operations BEFORE

reopening the bridge to traffic.

# PROCEDURE #2: OFF-SYSTEM BRIDGE IS RECOMMENDED FOR LOAD POSTING

Each Local Jurisdiction Bridge Owner shall have an effective, ongoing program to maintain load posting signs on their birdges. This shall include installation, maintenance, and replacement, and an effective monitoring function to ensure that all bridges that require load posting are properly and timely posted.




## PROCEDURE #3: OWNER REVIEW OF RATING, POSTING, & CLOSING DATA AND REQUIREMENTS

Bridge load capacity rating data is provided to each Bridge Owner every three (3) months via the Quarterly Computer Reports (see attachment # 6). These computer listings indicate the load capacity ratings for each bridge and the resulting load posting or closing recommendation. The report also indicates the current status of each bridge (i.e. load posted or closed), and whether or not the current status agrees with current calculated capacity of the bridge.


#### \*Note:

Calculated capacity ratings for timber bridges are furnished by the LA DOTD using the LA DOTD Timber Rating Field Data Form and Timber Rating Computer Program, except in the case when the Owner's Engineer must complete and submit a rating in order to re-open or increase\remove a load restriction.

Capacity rating calculations for all other bridges (concrete and steel spans) shall be furnished by the Bridge Owner's Engineer. These ratings must be furnished to the LA DOTD by the Bridge Owner.



## PROCEDURE #4: MONITORING OFF-SYSTEM BRIDGE OWNER COMPLIANCE WITH THE NBIS



LA DOTD BRIDGE MAINTENANCE DIRECTIVE #5 JANUARY 1, 1992 Rev. November 30, 2011 ATTACHMENT # 2

#### PREPARING THE OWNER'S ENGINEER'S BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

All Bridge Inspection Reports prepared by Off-System Bridge Owner's Engineers shall be In-Depth inspections, and shall generally be filled out just as the old NBI inspection reports completed by LA DOTD Bridge Inspectors on Form 3097 under the 1979 Coding Guide. The Owner may choose to imitate an Element Level Inspection Report similar to the ones provided by LADOTD, but they must contain both Element inventory, Element condition (PONTIS Condition States from the 2009 PONTIS Inspection Manual), and NBI Condition Ratings. Any inspection form other than Form 3097 must be pre —approved for use by the LA DOTD Structures and Facilities Maintenance Engineer. The In-Depth inspection report shall include ratings for all items (no blanks), sketches and photographs of repaired areas, etc. and shall adhere to the following guidelines:

**Date of Inspection:** Code the Date the Bridge Owner's Engineer completed

the inspection of the bridge.

**Date of Next Inspection:** Code the Date of Next Inspection Due as two (2) years

from the last LA DOTD In-Depth inspection of the bridge. The computer will adjust this date if it is not entered properly, however it must be coded to enter

the report.

**Type of Inspection:** Code the Owner's Engineer's inspection as an in-depth

inspection, code = 1 on Form 3097.

**Team Leader Initials:** Always code "OWN" as the Team Leader Initials on all

inspection reports completed by the Owner's Engineer.

#### The following signatures are required on each report:

**Inspected By:** The Off-System Bridge Owner's Engineer shall sign the

report on the "Inspected By" line and place his\her

engineer's stamp on the report form.

**District ADA of Operations Initials:**After reviewing the report for completeness and

accuracy, the LA DOTD District ADA of Operations shall

sign the report on the "Approved By" line.

rev. 10/2004

### BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

Page\_\_\_of\_\_\_

벋	ist	<u> </u>				Pa	risl	า					Ro	ute	te Control				I Section			L	.og	Mi	le	I.	.D.		В	ric	lge	Ty	/pe		Υ	'ear	r Bı	uilt	t	De	sig	n Lo	
		 F	Brio	dge	e N	am	e c	r F	ea	ture	e C	ros	se	d		<u> </u>	E	3ric	lge	Le	ngi	:h		Но	riz.	. CI	lea	ır	+	\	/e	rt. (	Cle	ar	+;	Su	rfac	e -	Тур	ре		Α	DT
	_	_									_								_		J								1										- / 1				
D P								МВ					PΕ		IO ETE				ATE SPE					Type Inspection	Recommendation	Total Rating	9	Overall Struct.	Kating														
	<u>_</u>	느	ᄪ	ΛE	EI	\ `	ED	VI		<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	닉			65)	ΛΙ	PPI	P0	۸۲						58)			·K		<u> </u>	<u> </u>							_	_, г	_	တ	a: 7	0 0
Н				T	s	т —	T			J	Ī	П				A	T		AC	, n			Ф		36)			T										Č		fron	Ē	sure	and
CONDITION	Reg. Signs	Striping	Object Markers	Warning Signs	Warning Devices	Clear. Signs	Clear. Devices	Aerial Signals	Navig. Lights	Rdwy. Lights	Legibility	Visibility	CONDITION	Rdwy. Surface	Const. Jointas	Shoulders	Embankment	Appro. Slab	Relief Joints	Guardrails	Retain. Walls	CONDITION	Rdwy. Surface	Deck	Curbs	Sidewalks	Bridge Rails	Parapets	Dack loints	Deck Joilles	Joint Seals							FAMOCHIAL	E I NFORMAT		If you received this	unauthorized examination, disclosure	ation is prohibited fion unexamined
			(59	9) S	SUI	PEI	RS	TR	UC	TU	JRE						(	60)	Sl	JBS	STI	٦U	СТ	UR	Œ			]										2	ABL	iden	W.	ě	orma
CONDITION	Bearings	Girders	Floor Beams	Stringers	Trusses	Lower Chord	X-Bracing/Diaphrag	Lower Lat. System	Portal Frames	Verticlas	Diagonals	Upper Lat. System	Sway Frames	Upper Chord	CONDITION	Abutments	Back / Wing Walls	Bulkheads	Pedestals	Bents	Caps	Piling	Bracing	Piers	Columns	Footing	Foundation												DI SCOVER.	privileged, confidential	applicable law. If	unauthorized	ding this info urn this info
	Beal Beal Bloom Strin Trus Strin Lower Lower Vert Diag					I i																										_	41	p	<u>~</u>	>	ga e						
L																																							NON-		other	nat any	on regar and ret
L		61)	W	AT	ER'	WA	Y			   	10\	/AB	BLE	SI	PAI	Т							1	1	NE	RA		T	TE						<b>0</b>				- NON 8	ıment is	and other	that any	action regar eal and ret
CONDITION	Embankment	nt		Ф				Scour	CONDITION	Mech. System			Elec. System	Barriers/Gates <b>S</b>		Operator House 6	Nav. Signals	Rivets/Bolts	Welds	Steel Corrosion	Fatigue	Paint	Conc Spall/Scale	1		Connections	ad	T	2		Oload Distress	Drainage	Rdwy. Aling.	Cleanliness	Collision Damage	Utilities			PRIVILEGED & NON-	document is		ou are on notice that any	r taking ot any action regar immediately seal and ret
	Embankment	Revetment	Channel	Spur Dyke	Vegetation	Fenders	Drift			Mech. System	Wedges					Т	Nav. Signals	Rivets/Bolts	Welds				Conc Spall/Scale	Conc. Cracks	Timber Decay	Connections	Movement / Load	Settlement	Member Alina	Olood Diotroso	Oload Distress	Drainage	Rdwy. Aling.	Cleanliness	Collision Damage	Utilities	7	_		forth in this document is	U.S.C.		on, or taking of any action regarding this information is prohibited and ed to immediately seal and return this information unexamined and
	Embankment	Revetment	Channel	Spur Dyke	Vegetation		Drift	AF	PPR	SIV Mech. System	Wedges	Greasing				Т	Nav. Signals	Rivets/Bolts	Welds	Steel Corrosion			Conc Spall/Scale	Conc. Cracks	Timber Decay	Connections	Movement / Load	Settlement	Member Alina	Olood Diotroso	O load Distress	Drainage	Rdwy. Aling.	Cleanliness	Collision Damage	Utilities	7	_		forth in this document is	U.S.C.		
	OD Embankment	<b>DAO</b> Revetment	ation <b>D</b> Channel	Spur Dyke	Vegetation	Fenders	Y ES	AF	PPR	SIN Mech. System	Wedges	S Greasing	Elec. System		Control Panel	Т	# Nav. Signals				PE	wo	Conc Spall/Scale	Sonc. Cracks	<b>Timber Decay</b>	Connections	Movement / Load	Settlement	Member Alina	D Special Profits	Oload Distress		Rdwy. Aling.			0 Utilities		_	CONFIDENTIAL, PRIVILEGED & NON-	forth in this document is	U.S.C.		
	OD Embankment	<b>CEVERANT</b>	ation <b>D</b> Channel	LI Spur Dyke	Vegetation	Fenders	Y ES	AF	PPR	SIV Mech. System	Wedges	S Greasing	Elec. System	Barriers/Gates	Control Panel	Т				TY	PE	wo	Conc Spall/Scale	Sonc. Cracks	<b>Timber Decay</b>	Connections	Movement / Load	Settlement	DE SCIP TOUR	D Special Profits	O load Distress							_		forth in this document is	3 U.S.C.		copying, distribution, or taking of any action regar
Single	Vehicle G S Embankment	<b>CEVERANT</b>	Combination C	Bridge Rail 1	Transition Page Vegetation	Appro. GRail A T	GRail Ends S A Drift	UnderClearanc <b>JV</b>	CHI	App. Rdwy. Alig SIP	Wedges	THICKNESS Greasing	Elec. System	# Barriers/Gates	Control Panel	Operator House		2		TY	PE	WC	Conc Spall/Scale	Sonc. Cracks	<b>Da</b> Timber Decay	MO Connections	Movement / Load	Settlement	DE SCIP TOUR	D Special Profits	O load Distress							_		forth in this document is	U.S.C.		
Single	Vehicle O SO Embankment	Vehicle <b>DATE</b>	Combination <b>D</b> Channel	Bridge Rail 1	Transition Page Vegetation	Appro. GRail A T Fenders	GRail Ends S A Drift	UnderClearanc <b>JV</b>	Offile DA Adequacy CH	App. Rdwy. Alig SIP	n SURFACE Wedges	THICKNESS Greasing	Elec. System	# Barriers/Gates	SS Control Panel	Operator House	#2	ctors <b>P</b>	ER	<b>TY</b> )	PE NNE	WC	DRI # 4	R A N	<b>Da</b> Timber Decay	OM Connections	Movement / Load	Settlement	DE #	D 6	pec	##	# 7	By:	#			_		forth in this document is	U.S.C.		

#### BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

DISTRICT		PARISH		ROUTI	Ξ	STRUC	TURE NUMBER	RECALL NUMBER
				LOCAL RO	DAD			
CROSSING DESCRI	PTION	LENGTH	BRI	DGE TYPE	YEAF	RBUILT	ADT	POSTED LOAD
		24.93 ft	-	TTTRES	1	960	30	03-00

CONDITION 3 Channel: 3 Total Rating: Deck: 3 Overall Rating: Culvert: Ν 3 Superstructure: 3 Traffic Services: Substructure: 3

**INSPECTION** Inspection Date: 12/2/2009 Frequency: 24 Next Inspection: 12/2/2011

**APPRAISAL** 

Waterway Adeq: 4 Bridge Railings: Guardrail Ends: 0

Approach Align: 6 Transitions: Pier Protection: Not Applicable (P) Ν Surface Thickness: 6 in. Appr Guardrail: Ν Scour Critical: Unknown (NBI)

**IMPROVEMENTS** 

ACCESS EQUIPMENT

Proposed Work (1): 31 Repl-Load Capacity Proposed Work (2): Not Applicable Work Done By (1): Work Done By (2): 2 Owner s Forces Not Applicable

**SPECIAL DETAILS** 

Pin & Hanger 2-Girder System: 2-Truss System: Suspension Spans: X-Girder/Floor Beams:

Pier Caps:

Reach-All: 0 hours Bucket Truck: 0 hours Marsh Buggy: 0 hours Scaffolding: 0 hours Boat: 1 hours 0 hours Ladder:

**ATTACHMENTS** Sketches: Υ Photographs: Ν Streambed Profile: Timber Rating: 588 Steel Form: Ν Movable Bridge Insp.: Ν

PERSONNEL RESOURCES

No. of Inspectors: 2 Man Hours: 1.5 Team Leader Initials:

Inspected By:

Approved By:

Date Approved: 1/7/2010 15:35:14

CONFIDENTIAL. PRIVILEGED NON-DISCOVERABLE INFORMATION

The information set forth in this document is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under 23 U.S.C. § 409 and other applicable law. If you received this information in error, you are on notice that any unauthorized examination, disclosure, copying, distribution, or taking of any action regarding this information is prohibited and you are requested to immediately seal and return this information unexamined and uncopied to the sender.

Structure No. Wed 1/26/2011 08:17:23 **Bridge Inspection Report** Recall No. Page 1 of 13

#### **BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT**

10/2 32/2 ards have r	Description  Asphaltic Conc Ovly  Deck-Timber-AC Ovly	Total Qty Units 525 (SF)	0	<b>2</b> 525	0	0	5
32/2 ards have r	Deck-Timber-AC Ovly	. ,	0	525	0	0	
ards have r	•	F0F (CF)					(
	naior decay thru out the hrid	525 (SF) ge. Recommend replacing de	0	0	525	0	(
	major decay tind out the brid	ge. Recommend replacing do					
117/2	Stringer/Girder-Timb	252 (LF)	76	76	50	50	(
			nd stringer	#9 is 100	% decayed	l.	
206/2	Column-Timber	12 (EA)	1	6	3	2	(
		ecayed. Abutment #3, pile #1	is 75% dec	ayed. Red	commend d	ecayed pil	ing be
216/2	Abutment-Timber	72 (LF)	19	17	17	19	C
id wing wal	ls have decay in areas. In ac	dditon see scour comment.					
235/2	Cap-Timber	61 (LF)	53	6	2	0	C
abutments	are tilted toward the approa	aches. Recommd repair. (SEE	PILE SKE	TCH)			
332/2	Rail System-Timber	50 (LF)	10	35	5	0	C
veak with d	ecay in areas. Recommend	repair.					
361/2	Scour SmFlg	1 (EA)	1	0	0	0	C
			ned both fro	ont slope e	embankmei	nts. This la	arge
375/2	Erosion SmFlg	1 (EA)	1	0	0	0	C
OMMENT							
380/2	Traffic Serv SmFlg	1 (EA)	1	0	0	0	C
arkers are	missing from the bridge. Re-	commend replacing missing n	narkers.				
TES							
	r #1 is 70% decayed. S 206/2 e #3 is 50% PILE SKETC 216/2 id wing wall 235/2 a abutments 332/2 veak with d 361/2 if formed a c ds under book 375/2 DMMENT 380/2	decayed. Span #2,stringer #9 is broke.  206/2 Column-Timber e #3 is 50% decayed. Pile #4 is 80% decaye	r #1 is 70% decayed, stringer #4 is cracked, stringer #6 is cracked, a decayed. Span #2, stringer #9 is broke. Recommend repair.  206/2 Column-Timber 12 (EA)  e #3 is 50% decayed. Pile #4 is 80% decayed. Abutment #3, pile #1 is PILE SKETCH)  216/2 Abutment-Timber 72 (LF)  id wing walls have decay in areas. In addition see scour comment.  235/2 Cap-Timber 61 (LF)  abutments are tilted toward the approaches. Recommd repair. (SEE)  332/2 Rail System-Timber 50 (LF)  weak with decay in areas. Recommend repair.  361/2 Scour SmFlg 1 (EA)  formed a deep scour hole underneath the bridge, which has consumds under both bulkeads. Recommend repair.  375/2 Erosion SmFlg 1 (EA)  DMMENT  380/2 Traffic Serv SmFlg 1 (EA)  parkers are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing in a sarkers are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing in a sarkers are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing in a sarkers are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing in a sarkers are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing in a sarkers are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing in a sarkers are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing in a sarkers are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing in a sarkers are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing in a sarkers are missing from the bridge.	r #1 is 70% decayed, stringer #4 is cracked, stringer #6 is cracked, and stringer decayed. Span #2, stringer #9 is broke. Recommend repair.  206/2 Column-Timber 12 (EA) 1 e #3 is 50% decayed. Pile #4 is 80% decayed. Abutment #3, pile #1 is 75% decayed. SKETCH)  216/2 Abutment-Timber 72 (LF) 19 id wing walls have decay in areas. In additon see scour comment.  235/2 Cap-Timber 61 (LF) 53 abutments are tilted toward the approaches. Recommd repair. (SEE PILE SKETCH)  332/2 Rail System-Timber 50 (LF) 10 eveak with decay in areas. Recommend repair.  361/2 Scour SmFlg 1 (EA) 1 if formed a deep scour hole underneath the bridge, which has consumed both frods under both bulkeads. Recommend repair.  375/2 Erosion SmFlg 1 (EA) 1 DMMENT  380/2 Traffic Serv SmFlg 1 (EA) 1 parkers are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing markers.	r #1 is 70% decayed, stringer #4 is cracked, stringer #6 is cracked, and stringer #9 is 100 decayed. Span #2, stringer #9 is broke. Recommend repair.  206/2 Column-Timber 12 (EA) 1 6 e #3 is 50% decayed. Pile #4 is 80% decayed. Abutment #3, pile #1 is 75% decayed. Receible SKETCH)  216/2 Abutment-Timber 72 (LF) 19 17 ed wing walls have decay in areas. In additon see scour comment.  235/2 Cap-Timber 61 (LF) 53 6 e abutments are tilted toward the approaches. Recommend repair. (SEE PILE SKETCH)  332/2 Rail System-Timber 50 (LF) 10 35 eveak with decay in areas. Recommend repair.  361/2 Scour SmFlg 1 (EA) 1 0 efformed a deep scour hole underneath the bridge, which has consumed both front slope eds under both bulkeads. Recommend repair.  375/2 Erosion SmFlg 1 (EA) 1 0 endoments are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing markers.	r #1 is 70% decayed, stringer #4 is cracked, stringer #6 is cracked, and stringer #9 is 100% decayed decayed. Span #2,stringer #9 is broke. Recommend repair.  206/2 Column-Timber 12 (EA) 1 6 3 e #3 is 50% decayed. Pile #4 is 80% decayed. Abutment #3, pile #1 is 75% decayed. Recommend of PILE SKETCH)  216/2 Abutment-Timber 72 (LF) 19 17 17 Id wing walls have decay in areas. In addition see scour comment.  235/2 Cap-Timber 61 (LF) 53 6 2 In abutments are tilted toward the approaches. Recommd repair. (SEE PILE SKETCH)  332/2 Rail System-Timber 50 (LF) 10 35 5 eveak with decay in areas. Recommend repair.  361/2 Scour SmFlg 1 (EA) 1 0 0 endormed a deep scour hole underneath the bridge, which has consumed both front slope embankment and sunder both bulkeads. Recommend repair.  375/2 Erosion SmFlg 1 (EA) 1 0 0 DMMENT  380/2 Traffic Serv SmFlg 1 (EA) 1 0 0 earkers are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing markers.	r#1 is 70% decayed, stringer #4 is cracked, stringer #6 is cracked, and stringer #9 is 100% decayed. decayed. Span #2,stringer #9 is broke. Recommend repair.  206/2 Column-Timber 12 (EA) 1 6 3 2 e #3 is 50% decayed. Pile #4 is 80% decayed. Abutment #3, pile #1 is 75% decayed. Recommend decayed pilities SKETCH)  216/2 Abutment-Timber 72 (LF) 19 17 17 19 Ind wing walls have decay in areas. In addition see scour comment.  235/2 Cap-Timber 61 (LF) 53 6 2 0 In abutments are tilted toward the approaches. Recommind repair. (SEE PILE SKETCH)  332/2 Rail System-Timber 50 (LF) 10 35 5 0 Interval with decay in areas. Recommend repair.  361/2 Scour SmFlg 1 (EA) 1 0 0 0 Informed a deep scour hole underneath the bridge, which has consumed both front slope embankments. This lads under both bulkeads. Recommend repair.  375/2 Erosion SmFlg 1 (EA) 1 0 0 0  DMMENT  380/2 Traffic Serv SmFlg 1 (EA) 1 0 0 0  arkers are missing from the bridge. Recommend replacing missing markers.

Bridge Inspection Papert	Structure No.	Pagall No.	Wad 1/26/2011 08:17:22
L			
_			


Bridge Inspection Report Structure No. Recall No. Wed 1/26/2011 08:17:23
Page 2 of 13

#### **BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT**

INSPECTION NOTES			

Bridge Inspection Report Structure No. Recall No. Wed 1/26/2011 08:17:23
Page 3 of 13

#### **BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT**



Bridge Inspection Report Structure No. Recall No. Wed 1/26/2011 08:17:23
Page 4 of 13

#### **BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT**

**BENT INSPECTION DATE: 12/2/2009** 

**WATERWAY MEASUREMENT** 

Top of Cap to Water Line

At Bent No. 2 , Distance from Begin Bridge 12 ft

Left 4.5 ft Right 0 ft

#### **GROUNDLINE MEASUREMENTS**

Top of Cap to Ground Line / Mud Line

Bent No.	Distance From Begin Bridge	Left Side	Right Side	Pile Depth
1	.00	7.50	.00	0
2	12.00	12.50	.00	0
3	25.00	6.50	.00	0

Bridge Inspection Report Structure No. Recall No. Wed 1/26/2011 08:17:23

Page 13 of 13

FORM 104P REV. 8/30/ 2007

RECALL NUMBER

#### LOUISIANA DOTD

							,	STI		CTUI FIEL														SP/	AN	S																
													0	FF	S١	'S	ΓEΝ	ИΒ	RII	OGE	Ε								,	Spa	an	_			_ (	of						
ST	RU	CTL	JRE		<u> </u>		D.	ATE	OF		<u> </u>				TYF	Έ						BRI	IDG	EΗ	AS			$ar{T}$							ICTU MBE						1	
	TY	PE/					INS	PEC	OIT	N				FL	OOF	RIN	G				TIME T(I	BER RUE						P/	J F	PAR.		L	ATIT	UDE			LON	IGITU	JDE	I	D	
										1																																
DEC HICKI . & HI	NES	-		CK WIE . & H	тн			COL	RING JRSE IDTI		١	WID.				LEN	PAN IGTH HDTI		(IN	DE	AP PTH IDTH	IS.)	(IN	WI	CAP IDTI HD		) (			GTH	l HS.)		FE	RE	RCU NCE DTH	Ξ	Т	HIC	SHE KNE HDT	SS		
•					•				•			•					•				-				•				•					•					•			
DEP	ТН		Т	TRII HICK . & H	NE	SS	CE	ENT	O NE - CE HDTH	NT											TE: an ir														inc	he	s a	nd	hui	ndr	ed	ths
-					•				-	$\dagger$											1.			art											ber	ed	blc	ck	for	ea	ach	
-  -	-				+		H	#	<u>:</u>	$\blacksquare$				P	ILE						2.		A o		cim	al i	s r	equ	ıir€	ed i	in a	a b	loc	k f	for	ea	ch	me	ası	ıre	d	
•					•				•						ACIN HD1		.)				3. 4.	•	Us bri	e a	a s e.		rai an:	te d s w	od ith	ling cr	g fo ack	orm kec	n fo	or e	eac				f sp or r			
•					-			1	<u>.</u>	Ħ					-						5.					ruc F, L		-				_			•				ΓTΝ	/IUI	DS	,
ļ.					•		H	1	-	H					•						6					e fl		_		•	: C	CO	NC	CR	ΕT	Ε,	ST	RII	⊃ (t	onę	gue	<b>:</b> &
															-						7.					er : trin													ge ' e.	tha	ın	
•							H	1		$\blacksquare$					-						8.					ile s o													Α	sh	ell	
-	t				•				•												9.		the	e s	paı		Γhe	e "c	en	ter	· / c	er	nte	r" (					stri the	_		n
•									-												10		Th	e "	'ce	nte	r /	•											in t utsi			
-					4		igspace	$\bot$	•	$\Box$													IS (	COC	aec	10.	U															

LADOTD Bridge Maintenance Directive #5

#### 

DISTRICT = 61 PARISH = ASCENSION OWNED BY = PARISH OF ASCENSION | MAINT BY = PARISH OF ASCENSION | LOCATED IN = Sorrento INSPECTION DATA LOAD POSTING LEN FREQ OF DOTD O T D S S SPECIAL RATINGS STRUCTURE TOTAL NO OF MAX SUFF SD INTERIM INSP S O E U U REVIEW INV OPE PST SIGNS 0 N REPORT STR NUMBER TYPE LENGTH SPANS SPAN RATE FO INSP DATE R T K P B REQUIRED RAT RAT VEH REQUIRED BRIDGE BRIDGES NUMBER P0330130905001 610173 TTTRES 71 5 15 68.8 -- 12 MOS 6/16/09 6 7 6 8 7 ----- 115 121 426 25-40 2035 PROJECT NO = \_\_\_\_\_ +YEAR COMP = 1960 +ROAD NO= \_\_\_\_ +LOGMILE= 0001 +FEATURE CROSSED= NEW RIVER BRIDGE NAME = NEW RIVER +BRIDGE LOCATION = 0.2 MI N OF LA 22 P0330133905111 610180 TTTRES 79 6 14 23.0 SD 12 MOS 6/24/09 3 5 5 6 3 ----- 111 115 426 15-25 1015 PROJECT NO = \_\_\_\_\_ +YEAR COMP = 1964 +ROAD NO= \_\_\_\_\_ +LOGMILE= 0001 +FEATURE CROSSED= NEW RIVER BRIDGE NAME = JOHN SAVOY RD. NEW RIVER +BRIDGE LOCATION = 0.1 MI N. OF LA 429 P0330131904911 610181 TTTRES 74 5 17 26.0 SD 6 MOS 6/16/09 4 5 6 6 4 ----- 102 104 404 04---በፈ PROJECT NO = +YEAR COMP = 1958 +ROAD NO= +LOGMILE= 0001 +FEATURE CROSSED= NEW RIVER BRIDGE NAME = ROUNDTREEE RD. NEW RIVER +BRIDGE LOCATION = 0.1 MI. N. OF LA 22 P0330142904531 610182 COPCSS 57 3 19 94.0 -- 24 MOS 6/17/09 7 8 7 8 7 ----- 260 299 599 ----PROJECT NO = \_\_\_\_\_ +YEAR COMP = 1998 +ROAD NO= \_\_\_\_ +LOGMILE= \_\_\_\_ +FEATURE CROSSED= BAYOU PIERRE BRIDGE NAME = BAYOU PIERRE +BRIDGE LOCATION = 1.1 MI. SE. OF LA 22 P0330132905101 800851 COPCSS 59 3 19 78.8 -- 24 MOS 5/21/09 6 7 7 7 6 ----- 260 299 599 ----PROJECT NO = \_\_\_\_\_ +YEAR COMP = 1975 +ROAD NO= 011500 +LOGMILE= 0006 +FEATURE CROSSED= NEW RIVER BRIDGE NAME = ASCENSION PARISH RD +BRIDGE LOCATION = 2 MI. NE LA 30/US 61 JCT

5

LADOTD Bridge Maintenance Directive #5

LOCATED-IN TOTAL

ST15/P033013	319049	11/01	SI	CRUCTUF	RΕ	SYSTEM			S	<b>:</b>		
						L DEFIC	ENC	Y - F	OLLOV	V-UP		
		NTS FOR										
ACTION:				P03301	L31	904911	R	ECALL	NO:	610181	occ:	00
		N O		I C A		IONS-						
REASON:									CL	= COMP	UTER :	LISTINGS
	CR =	CLOSE/	RATING	RP	= :	REVIEW/E	POST					
	PI =	POST/I	NSP	SC	=	SPOTCHEC	CK/C	LOSE	NR	= NOT	RATED	
	PR =	POST/R	ATING	SP	= .	SPOTCHEC	CK/P	OST				
RESPONSE				* **				****	* * *			
TIMES =	.7	+ 7 DA	YS		7	DAYS ONI	ΔY			30 +	7 DAY	S
ORIGINAL					 T∩TT							
NOTIFICATI				ERSON N PERSON'								
DATE: TI				FKSON	S	111112.						
DAIE• II	.14125 •											
	-•		RESI	PONSE		Δ	ים איטי	TABLE				
FINA	т.					RESPO			LAED		DATE	OF
NOTIFICATI			_	OWNER				OWNER				PLIANCE
DATE: TI				ATE:		DAT					WITH 1	
	:			00/00)		/	/		_		(00/0	
						/				<u>-</u> 		-, <b>,</b>
REMARKS:												

## OFF-SYSTEM CRITICAL DEFICIENCY FOLLOW-UP CHECKLIST

		ī				CHECKLIST	
STRUCTURE/RECALL NUMBER	REASON CODE	ORIGINAL NOTICE DATE	FINAL NOTICE DATE	RESPONSE REQUIRED DATE	ACCEPTED RESPONSE DATE	NON-COMP WITH NBIS DATE	NAME/TITLE OF PERSON NOTIFIED - REMARKS
						_	

#### STRUCTURE SYSTEM

#### OFF-SYSTEM BRIDGES - CRITICAL DEFICIENCY - FOLLOW-UP

#### **CODING INSTRUCTIONS:**

#### **REASON:**

Enter the appropriate REASON CODE for the notification being given to the Off-System Bridge Owner according to the Reason Codes listed below.

#### **PERSON NOTIFIED:**

Enter the NAME and TITLE of the Bridge Owner, or his representative, who was initially contacted and advised of the critical situation requiring the bridge be closed.

#### ORIGINAL NOTIFICATION - DATE & TIME:

Enter the DATE and TIME the above person was first notified of the critical deficiencies. This shall be the <u>initial</u> notification (first contact) by phone or in person, which shall be followed by a Certified Letter.

Press ENTER now, and the computer will calculate the Date a Response is required and the Date of Non-Compliance With NBIS based on the Reason Code entered above and the Date of Original Notification.

#### FINAL NOTIFICATION – DATE & TIME:

Enter the DATE and TIME the Bridge Owner received the Final Notification that critical deficiencies exist, and that a response is required. This shall be the <u>final</u> notification (personal contact) by phone or in person, which shall also be followed by a Certified Letter.

#### ACCEPTABLE RESPONSE RECEIVED:

Enter the DATE and TIME an Acceptable Response was received from the Bridge Owner.

#### COMMENTS/REMARKS:

Enter up to 70 characters of comments or remarks as necessary.

The following Reason Codes shall be used to indicate the reason the Off-System Bridge Owner has been notified.

REASON FOR NOTIFICATION:	REASON CODE:
Bridge recommended for CLOSURE based on findings of an LA DOTD Bridge Inspector	CI
Bridge recommended for CLOSURE based on LA DOTD Timber Rating calculations.	CR
Bridge recommended for LOAD POSTING based on the findings of an LA DOTD Bridge Inspection.	PI

Bridge recommended for LOAD POSTING based on LA DOTD Timber Rating Calculations.	PR
Bridge requires CLOSURE, but during the ANNUAL REVIEW the bridge was found Not Closed.	RC
Bridge requires LOAD PSOTING, but during ANNUAL REVIEW the bridge was Found Not Properly Posted.	RP
Bridge requires CLOSURE, but during a SPOT CHECK the bridge was found Not Closed.	SC
Bridge requires LOAD POSTING, but during a SPOT CHECK the bridge was found Not Properly Posted.	SP
Bridge has Concrete or Steel spans which have NOT been RATED, and must be rated By the Owner's Engineer.	NR
COMPUTER LISTINGS indicate bridges which:	CL

- 1) should be closed but are still open, and/or
- 2) are not properly posted, and/or
- 3) have other inconsistent or missing rating, posting, or closing data.

#### EXAMPLE NO. 1

A bridge has been recommended for CLOSURE based on the findings of an LA DOTD Bridge Inspection. The current date (for purposes of this example) is April 1, 1992. The Bridge Owner was contacted today at 1:00 PM.

When you get back to the office, call up the structure in STRM and enter the following Information on MSF-Segment 15:

- -ACTION = "A" (or "C" to change or correct a mistake)
- -REASON CODE = "CI"
- -ORIGINAL NOTIFICATION-DATE /TIME = "04/01/92 01:00 PM"

Press Enter and the computer will calculate the following dates for you:

- -RESPONSE REQUIRED FROM OWNER = "04/08/92"
- -DATE OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH NBIS = "04/15/92"

One week later, an Acceptable Response was received from the Bridge Owner at 9:00 AM On 04/07/92, therefore enter the following on MSF-Segment 15:

-ACCEPTABLE RESPONSE RECEIVED-DATE/TIME IS "04/07/92 09:00 AM"

Press Enter and the computer will erase RESPONSE REQUIRED FROM OWNER and DATE OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH NBIS, because a proper response has been received within the specified time Frames.

#### EXAMPLE NO. 2

During a trip across the Parish you noticed that a bridge which was recommended for CLOSURE last year is still OPEN to traffic. The current date (for purposes of the example) Is April 1, 1992.

When you get back to the office, call up the structure in STRM and enter the following Information MSF-Segment 15:

- -ACTION = "A" (or "C" to change or correct a mistake)
- -REASON CODE = "SC"
- -ORIGINAL NOTIFICATION-DATE TIME = "04/01/92 03:30 PM"

Press Enter and the computer will calculate the following dates for you:

- -RESPONSE REQUIRED FROM OWNER = "04/08/92"
- -DATE OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH NBIS = "04/08/92"

An Acceptable Response was NOT RECEIVED from the Bridge Owner by 04/08/92, therefore A Certified Letter must be sent to the Bridge Owner informing him of his status of Non-Compliance With NBIS.

#### KEEPING UP WITH THE NOTIFICATION PROCESS:

After notifying an Off-System Bridge Owner that a bridge contains critical deficiencies which require Immediate attention, the first thing to do upon returning to the office is to update this screen on the Master Structure File.

After a REASON and an ORIGINAL NOTIFICIATION DATE have been entered on the screen, press Enter. The computer will then automatically calculate, depending on which Reason Code has been entered, the DATE a RESPONSE is required from the Bridge Owner and the DATE of NON-COMPLIANCE WITH NBIS, should the Owner fail to respond.

When the Current Date becomes equal to the Date Response Required (either 7 or 30 days after Date Original Notification), the Owner must be given a Final Notification to respond. When this notice is given, the DATE FINAL NOTIFICATION shall be entered on the screen and on the Follow-up Checklist.

When the Current Date becomes equal to the Date of Non-Compliance with NBIS (either 7,14, or 37 days after Date Original Notification), the Owner is officially, irrevocably in Non-Compliance with the NBIS and is prohibited from participation in the program for at least one full calendar year.